Screening Mammography Capacity
Objectives

- Examine the adequacy of mammography facilities to meet HP 2010 goals
  - County-level
  - 14 states in the South
- Compare breast cancer screening rates, stage of disease at diagnosis, and breast cancer mortality rates of women who live in counties with and without adequate screening facilities
  - Person level
- Project mammography facilities needed to meet HP 2020 goals
Percent of Population in Poverty
All ages by County, 2010

Note: Alaska and Hawaii not shown to scale.
Hispanic or Latino Population

Percent of Total Population by County:
- 50.0% - 99.7%
- 16.3% - 49.9%
- 2.5% - 16.2%
- 0.0% - 2.4%

United States = 16.3%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.
2010 Census Summary File 1.

Note: Alaska and Hawaii not shown to scale.
HP 2010 & 2020 Goals

• HP 2010 Goal = screen 70% of women over age 40 every 1-2 years

• HP 2020 Goal = screen 81.1% of women over age 50 every 1-2 years
Capacity Definitions

• GAO: One mammogram machine can perform 6000 mammograms/year
  3 mammograms/hour, 8 hrs/day, 5 days/week, 50 weeks/year

• Therefore... 1.7 machines/10,000 women = capacity to screen 100% of
  women annually

• 1.7/2 = .85 machines/10,000 women = capacity to screen 100% of
  women biennially

• .85 machines * .70 (HP 2010 goal) = .595 machines/10,000 women =
  Adequate Capacity to reach HP 2010 goal

• Machine density = machines/women * 10,000
Percent screened within 2 years
Women over age 40

- No capacity, all yrs
- Inadequate capacity, some or all yrs
- Adequate capacity, all yrs
Percent screened within 2 years
Women over age 50

- No capacity, all yrs
- Inadequate capacity, some or all yrs
- Adequate capacity, all yrs
## Factors associated with Screening Mammogram within 2 years – women over 50 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence in county with adequate facilities 2 years prior to interview year</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.13, 1.25</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.02, 1.24</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.94, 1.30</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual care provider</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>4.1, 5.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health insurance</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>2.27, 2.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor self-reported health</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.54, 0.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97, 0.96</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recently deferred care due to cost</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.5, 0.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Rates of Diagnosis at Stage 0 = IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage at Presentation</th>
<th>Inadequate or No Capacity</th>
<th>Adequate Capacity</th>
<th>Risk Ratio</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Attributable Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate/100,000 women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Factors Associated with Diagnosis at Stage IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence in county with inadequate or no capacity</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.13, 1.25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.70, 1.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.95, 0.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>1.002, 1.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of additional machines needed to meet Health People 2020 targets through 2025

- TX: Target 2016, Target 2025
- VA: Target 2016, Target 2025
- GA: Target 2016, Target 2025
- NC: Target 2016, Target 2025
- FL: Target 2016, Target 2025
- OK: Target 2016, Target 2025
- LA: Target 2016, Target 2025
- KY: Target 2016, Target 2025
- AR: Target 2016, Target 2025
- TN: Target 2016, Target 2025
- WV: Target 2016, Target 2025
- AL: Target 2016, Target 2025
- SC: Target 2016, Target 2025
- MD: Target 2016, Target 2025

Legend: Target 2016, Target 2025
Conclusions

Inadequate screening mammography capacity is associated with lower screening rates and higher stage of disease at presentation.

Significant investment in additional machines will be needed throughout the South to achieve HP 2020 goals.
Kaplan-Meier survival estimates

Age-adjusted
Conceptual Framework

Mammography Facility in County or Adjacent County

Mammography Screening Rate
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## Cox model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residence in county with <strong>Adequate capacity</strong></td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.56, 0.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>1.026, 1.028</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.40, 3.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor &gt; 5 cm</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.01, 2.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.79, 1.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>.99</td>
<td>.98, .99</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conceptual Framework

Mammography Facility in County or Adjacent County

Mammography Screening Rate

Rates of Stage 0 - Stage IV

Mortality Rate
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If too few machines is bad, what about too many machines? Over-screening? Over-diagnosis?

Excess machines = more than the number needed to screen 100% of women over 50 biennially + 15% for second views
## Rates of Diagnosis at Stage 0 = IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage at Presentation</th>
<th>Inadequate or No Capacity</th>
<th>Adequate Capacity</th>
<th>Risk Ratio</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Attributable Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate/100,000 women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women in 14 Southern states
Time since last mammogram – 2000
All women over 40 yrs of age in US
Time since last mammogram – 2000
Self-reported health = fair or poor

Can't remember
5yr or more
4yr
3 yr
2 yr
1 yr
Never
Time since last mammogram – 2010
All women over 40 yrs of age in US
Time since last mammogram – 2010
Self-reported health = fair or poor

- Can't remember
- 5yr or more
- 4yr
- 3 yr
- 2 yr
- 1 yr
- 1 yr
- Never
Time since last mammogram – 2010
All women over 40 yrs of age in US
Time since last mammogram – 2010
Self-reported health = fair or poor

Can't remember
5yr or more
4yr
3 yr
2 yr
1 yr
Never
Time since last mammogram – 2010
All women in US - 80 – 99 years of age
Time since last mammogram – 2010
80 – 99 years of age
Are screening practices in the elderly related to mammography capacity?
Percent with mammogram within last 2 years 2000 - 2004

Women >40
- No Facilities
- Inadequate Facilities
- Adequate Facilities
- Excess Facilities

Women >80
- No Facilities
- Inadequate Facilities
- Adequate Facilities
- Excess Facilities

Fair/Poor Health & >80
- No Facilities
- Inadequate Facilities
- Adequate Facilities
- Excess Facilities

Women in 14 Southern states
Characteristics of County Populations – Median Family Income

- Women in 14 Southern states

Bar chart showing median income levels for different facilities conditions.
Characteristics of County Populations - Economic

Women in 14 Southern states
Characteristics of County Populations – Education, rurality

- Women in 14 Southern states

Bar chart showing:
- % HS graduate
- % English
- % rural

Legend:
- Blue: No Facilities
- Red: Inadequate Facilities
- Green: Adequate Facilities
- Purple: Excess Facilities
Characteristics of County Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No Facilities</th>
<th>Inadequate Facilities</th>
<th>Adequate Facilities</th>
<th>Excess Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% non-White</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women in 14 Southern states
Screening mammography among elderly women with fair-poor self-reported health seem to be related to mammography capacity...

But not in the way we might predict.

The association may be more suggestive of local/regional practice patterns in affluent, well-educated, predominantly white suburban areas.

Any brilliant thoughts about the next step??